Interview Summary	Application No. 09/466,947	Applicant(s)	
	Examiner	Peterson Art Unit	
	Ralph Lev	vis 3732	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):		
(1) Ralph Lewis	(3)		
(2) James Christoff	(4)		
Date of Interview Dec 3, 2002			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's re	presentative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🖾 No. If yes, b	rief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: Proposed Claims 1, 17			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
The applied Prior Art			
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: The examiner agreed that the proposed claims requiring a claimed invention from the applied prior art. The examine	non laser engraved g	enus identification mark distinguished th	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the ex copy of the amendme	aminer agreed would render the claims ents that would render the claims allows	able is
i) $ \Sigma $ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the sul	ostance of the interview (if box is check	.ed).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to the last Office action has	
		Primary Examiner	
		Au 3732	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required